DECLARATION OF M. DUNCAN GRANT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CONNECTICUT BANK OF)
COMMERCE,)
Plaintiff,)
i idilitii,	,
v.) Civil Action No. 05-762 SLR
THE REPUBLIC OF CONGO,)
Defendant;)
CMS NOMECO CONGO INC.,)
Garnishee.)

DECLARATION OF M. DUNCAN GRANT IN SUPPORT OF CMS NOMECO'S FEE PETITION

M. DUNCAN GRANT declares the following:

- 1. I am a partner with Pepper Hamilton LLP, and my firm has worked with Vinson & Elkins L.L.P. and Thompson & Knight LLP in representing garnishee CMS Nomeco Congo, Inc. ("CMS Nomeco") in this action. We have also worked with Vinson & Elkins L.L.P. and Thompson & Knight LLP in representing CMS Nomeco in two additional lawsuits, (a) Walker International Holdings Limited v. Republic of the Congo, Civil Action No. 05-MC-00156 (D. Del.), and (b) Af-Cap, Inc. and Walker International Holdings Limited v. Republic of the Congo, Civil Action No. 1488-N (Del. Ch. Ct.).
- 2. Throughout the course of this action, my firm participated as a full "team member" in the representation of CMS Nomeco. Thus, although it is true that we were local counsel, we provided far more substantial services than local counsel often do. We were directly involved in strategy decisions, in briefing, and in all of the other matters that were presented during the course of this action. For those reasons, our time and expense charges were greater

than they would have been if we had provided only filing services and minimal advisory services.

- 3. I submit this Declaration in support of CMS Nomeco's motion for reimbursement of attorneys' fees and costs that CMS Nomeco incurred in this action. The Declaration and its two attachments set forth the fees and costs incurred by my law firm in defending CMS Nomeco in this action. The statements in the Declaration are based upon my personal knowledge and upon my firm's billing records, which were prepared in the ordinary course of the firm's business.
- 4. I received my A.B. degree from Princeton University in 1972 and my J.D. degree from the University of Pennsylvania Law School in 1975. I served as law clerk to Judge Arlin M. Adams of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit from August 1975 through August 1976. I joined Pepper Hamilton LLP, which was then named Pepper, Hamilton & Scheetz, in August 1976, and I became a partner in the firm in September 1983. I was admitted to the Pennsylvania Bar in October 1975 and to the Delaware Bar in December 1991, and I have been a member of the Bar of this Court since January 1992. I am also a member of the Bars of the Supreme Court of the United States; the United States Courts of Appeals for the Third, Tenth, Eleventh, and Federal Circuits; and the United States District Courts for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and the Northern District of New York. My practice has always focused on commercial and corporate litigation matters, and I have significant experience in those areas of law, including the trial of something over 25 cases and numerous appellate arguments. Since becoming a member of the Delaware Bar in 1991, the most substantial portion of my practice has been before this Court, the Delaware Court of Chancery, and the Delaware Superior Court.

- 5. James C. Carignan, an associate in our firm, has also provided services to CMS Nomeco in this action. He received his B.A. degree from the University of Central Florida in 1999 and his J.D. degree from Villanova University School of Law in 2002. Mr. Carignan was admitted to the Delaware Bar in December 2002 and to the Bar of this Court in January 2003. In 2002 and 2003, he was an associate with Morris Nichols Arsht & Tunnell in Wilmington, and he joined our firm in January 2004. Since joining our firm, Mr. Carignan has worked in our corporate restructuring and bankruptcy practice group.
- Acting through our Finance Committee, my law firm sets standard hourly 6. rates for each lawyer and paralegal in the firm, and such rates are normally adjusted as of January 1 each year. The standard hourly rates are set after a thorough review by the Finance Committee of the appropriate standard hourly rate that should be set each year for each lawyer and paralegal in the firm. Following its annual review of relevant information, the Finance Committee set the following standard hourly rates for Mr. Carignan and me for 2005, 2006, and 2007, and the firm charged CMS Nomeco (and other clients of the firm) for our time at our standard hourly rates in each of those years:
 - James C. Carignan, \$230 in 2005, \$270 in 2006, and \$305 in 2007.
 - M. Duncan Grant, \$455 in 2005, \$490 in 2006, and \$520 in 2007.
- The Excel spread sheet attached to this Declaration as Exhibit A sets forth 7. the time entries that my firm has billed to CMS Nomeco (or, in the case of time entries dated on or after March 1, 2007, has not yet billed, but will bill, to CMS Nomeco) and for which CMS Nomeco seeks reimbursement through its motion. Exhibit A contains only those time entries that include time spent on this action. We have removed time entries in which all of the time

Filed 03/23/2007

relates to the Walker action pending in this Court, to the Court of Chancery action, or to other legal services that we performed for CMS Nomeco that did not relate to this action.

- For each time entry in Exhibit A, we have set forth the amount of time that 8. we spent and for which we billed CMS Nomeco and, separately, the amount of time for which CMS Nomeco now seeks reimbursement from Af-Cap through the fee motion. The latter figure is sometimes smaller than the former, specifically when a given time entry included both time spent on this action and time spent on either the Walker action or the Court of Chancery action. One example is my time entry for January 18, 2007, which shows that we billed CMS Nomeco 8.00 hours for my time that day, but for which CMS Nomeco is seeking reimbursement for only 4.00 hours, because my services were divided that day between this action and the Court of Chancery action.
- Where appropriate in order to protect attorney-client privilege, we have 9. redacted portions of time entries and have noted, in Exhibit A, each location where we have done so.
- As shown in Exhibit A, CMS Nomeco seeks reimbursement in the amount 10. of \$66,156.25 in fees. My firm's total fee charges to CMS Nomeco through yesterday (including time that has been incurred by not yet billed) total \$135,764.50. The difference of \$69,608.25 is due to two factors, (a) the reduction in the time included in time entries that are set forth in Exhibit A (see paragraph 7 above), and (b) the exclusion from Exhibit A of time entries that related solely to the Walker action, the Court of Chancery action, or to other legal services that we performed for CMS Nomeco that did not relate to this action.
- 11. The cost charges that were incurred by my firm in this action on behalf of CMS Nomeco and billed to CMS Nomeco, and for which CMS Nomeco seeks reimbursement

through its motion, are set forth in Exhibit B to this Declaration. As shown in Exhibit B, CMS Nomeco seeks reimbursement of \$4,427.58 in costs. In order to be conservative, we have omitted certain cost items (such as duplicating charges) from Exhibit B. With respect to WestLaw research, we have determined the amount of the charges for searches conducted by Mr. Carignan (thus omitting charges for WestLaw searches conducted on behalf of CMS Nomeco by other lawyers in my firm). I believe that at least 80% of Mr. Carignan's WestLaw time was spent on this case, as opposed to the Walker case and the Court of Chancery case. Once again to be conservative, however, CMS Nomeco is seeking reimbursement of only 75% of the Carignangenerated WestLaw charges, and that is reflected in Exhibit B.

With the exception of about \$14,000 still owing on recent invoices 12. (including two invoices on which payment is not yet due) and of time that has not yet been billed, and with the further exception of wire transfer fees totaling less than \$150 that were deducted from CMS Nomeco's payments to my firm, CMS Nomeco has paid all of my firm's invoices in full.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746(2), I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on March 23, 2007.

M Duncan Grant

EXHIBIT A

Description of Services	Baylow narrande changes that will at account	has been served on CMS Nomeco in Connecticut	Bank of Commerce case pending in Delaware	Superior Court, email exchange with G. Line	regarding removal of case to federal court	In Connecticut Bank of Commerce case, review and	edit G. Lipe drafts of notice of removal and	answer to writ of garnishment, and send revised	versions to J. Powers at Vinson & Elkins for	comment; direct J. Carignan to insert case law	citations into notice of removal.	Edits to Notice of Removal.	Review J. Powers' proposed chances to notice of	removal of Connecticut Bank case; review J.	Carignan case citations that we have added to	notice of removal; email exchange with J. Powers	regarding same.	Prepare notification of removal of Connecticut	Bank of Commerce case, to be filed in state	court when we remove the case to federal court.	Finalize notification of removal, to be filed in	state court in Connecticut Bank case, including	discussions about its text with G. Lipe and J.	Powers; review Thompson & Knight's proposed	revisions to answer to writ of garnishment and	notice of removal in Connecticut Bank case, and discuss same with G line	das saina will o' Lipa.	Review revised versions of notice of removal and	answer to writ of garnishment (Connecticut Bank	case); insert revisions and assemble pertinent	exhibits; multiple emails with G. Lipe relating		Finalize answer to writ of garnishment for	filing with federal court in Connecticut Bank	6	
Amount Sought in Fee Petition	\$113.75 De		Bar	Sup	Dej.	\$1,251.25 In C	#B	ans	ver	8	Oita	\$207.00 Edit	\$341.25 Rev		S	pou	reg	\$113.75 Pre	Вал	noo	\$341.25 Fina		disc	Pov	revi	noti	ren	\$455.00 Rev	ans	SE	uxe uxe	2	\$227.50 Fina	filin	case	
Hours Sought A	0.25		The state of the s			2.75				The state of the s		06.0	0.75					0.25			0.75		and the second s		SALVA CONTRACTOR CONTR			9.					0.50			*
Amount Billed to Client	\$113.75	***************************************			44404	\$1,251.25	The second secon		The state of the s			\$207.00	\$341.25	, T. C.				\$113.75			\$341.25	A CANADA AND A CAN			THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O	The second secon		\$455.00					\$227.50			
Hours Billed A to Client	0.25					2.75						06:0	0.75			***************************************		0.25			0.75							9.7					0.50		and the configuration of the first of the configuration of the configura	
Attorney	M. Duncan Grant				The last control of the control of t	M. Duncan Grant			77.7	The state of the s		James C. Carignan	M. Duncan Grant					M. Duncan Grant			M. Duncan Grant				THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO THE PE			M. Duncan Grant	A THE PERSON OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO PERSON OF THE PERSON OF TH	and the second section of the section of the second section of the section of the second section of the second section of the secti	A THE PARTY OF THE	AND TO SECURE OF THE PARTY OF T	M. Duncan Grant			
Date	10/14/2005					10/21/2005		manus and the state of the stat				10/24/2005	10/24/2005					10/28/2005			10/31/2005			A STATE OF THE STA				11/1/2005					11/2/2005			

ht Description of Services	G. Lipe	Research "unanimity rule" issue for remand	motion.	Review exceptions to garnishment answer and	conter with U. Grant and co-counsel.	Review Af-Cap's objections to CMS Nomeco's	answer to writ of garnishment in Connecticut	Bank case; telephone conference with G. Lipe to	discuss same; review case law cited by Af-Cap	relating to requirement that answer to writ of	garnishment be verified, and send email to G.	Lipe analyzing same.	Draft brief in reply to motion to remand.	Initial drafting of motion to amend answer to	writ of garnishment in Connecticut Bank case by	adding verification.	Edits to brief in opposition to motion to	remand.		Finalize motion to amend answer to writ of	garnishment in Connecticut Bank case by adding	verification on behalf of CMS Nomeco; send same	to G. Lipe for comment, and discuss same with	him; edit J. Carignan draft of portions of brief	in opposition to Af-Cap's motion to remand in	Connecticut Bank case; draft additional portions	of brief, review and comment on G. Lipe draft of	his portion of brief; discuss strategy with G.	Lipe.	Further work on brief in opposition to Af-Cap	motion to remand Connecticut Bank case to state	court, including review of case law, editing,	and drafting insert for inclusion in portion of	brief retating to Af-Cap's request for	attomeys' fees, multiple emails with G. Lipe	relating to content of brief and strategy	issues.	Final edits to brief in opposition to Af-Cap
Amount Sought in Fee Petition		\$575.00		\$345.00		\$796.25				of the state of th			\$1,610.00	\$227.50			\$529.00			\$2,275.00			A CAN DE LA CANADA CONTRACTOR							\$1,478.75								\$568.75
Hours Sought in Fee Petition		2.50		1.50		1.75	A STATE OF THE STA			read de atempt hy a graphy y park a résurtage to Parket (Parket) parassantes. E			7.00	0.50		7.7.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2	2.30	The state of the s		5.00				and the state of t						3.25								1.25
Amount Billed to Client		\$575.00		\$345.00	COMMENT TO A STATE OF THE STATE	\$796.25		O. P. 164 VA. VA. Whitemedischenstern neuer American Antonio					\$1,610.00	\$227.50	A COLUMN TO THE		\$529.00			\$2,275.00			A THE PASSAGE OF THE	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON OF T	consideration of the control of the					\$1,478.75			The state of the s					\$568.75
Hours Billed to Client		2.50		1.50		1.75	75.700000000000000000000000000000000000						2.00	0.50			2.30			2.00				And the second s						3.25								1.25
Attorney		James C. Carignan		James C. Carignan		M. Duncan Grant		A STATE OF THE STA	And the second section of the second second section of the second s		THE CHARLES AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O		James C. Carignan	M. Duncan Grant			James C. Carignan		The state of the s	M. Duncan Grant	The second secon		CONTRACTOR AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE P	THE PARTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND ADDRESS		777	1 () () V V V	Carry Congress of the Congress		M. Duncan Grant								M. Duncan Grant
Date		11/17/2005		11/18/2005		11/18/2005			and the second of the second s	And the second s	depending on the special participation of the section bed propagated an expension of the		11/20/2005	11/20/2005			11/21/2005			11/21/2005				The transfer and the second se	Annual for the first own of the second secon				Andreas de debre commune monte anademy (A. V.	11/22/2005								11/23/2005

| | JSWer | | | Cap | rit of | ers | | rward | | | A Company of the case of the table of the case of the | | And it | 100 | 3 | | reply | 1 4 | 376 | | | aff. | The second secon | endparter of other sections of the sections of the section of the | A | | | | | The second secon | > | | | with | | to | rther | | | And the second s |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--
--|--|--|--|---|--|--|---
--	--	------------------------------	---	--	--	--
--	------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	
--	--	--	---	--		
--	--					
Description of Services	motion to remand and to motion to amend answer	to writ of garnishment by adding verification,	both in Connecticut Bank case; telephone	conference with P. Brown to request that Af-Cap	consent to our motion to amend answer to writ of	garnishment (denied by Af-Cap), finalize papers
 | for filing with court. | Receipt of summary judgment motion and forward | to co-counsel. | Receipt and review of reply brief regarding | remand motion and forward to co-counsel. | Initial review of Af Can's renk brief in | minds leview of Al-Cap's lepty biles at | Bank race to chate court felenhone conference | with C. Ling to discuss some
 | Mil C. Libe to discuss same. | Review and comment on G. Lipe draft of surreply | brief in opposition to Af-Cap motion to remand | (Connecticut Bank case); draft motion for leave | to file surreply brief and transmit to G. Lipe | for his comments. | Review and insert F Hodoes' changes to draft | the fact that the file principle trained in | motion for leave to the surreply pilet in
 | Connecticut Bank case to state court. | Petriave and forward reconcive brief to | Concerns of receipt and review of multiple | co-counsel, receipt and review of multiple | e-mais regarding arromeys rees and | surrepiy. | Review Supreme Court's decision in Martin v. | Franklin Capital Corp. (relating to statutory | shifting attorneys' fees following remand of
 | removed case to state court); discuss same with | G. Lipe; draft supplemental filing to advise | court of the Martin decision, and send same to | G. Lipe for comments; review E. Hodges' further | comments on draft. |
 | Draft reply in support of motion to amend |
Amount Sought in Fee Petition						Communication in Communication of the Control of Contro		\$46.00		\$115.00		\$327 KD	VC-1-3-34	And the state of t			\$341.25	The second secon				\$227 KA	>>:>		A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	\$60.00	22.70	And below to the second			\$682.50		C							\$1,478.75
Hours Sought in Fee Petition						AND		0.20		0.50	The state of the s	0.50	900	A CANADA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN			0.75		The second secon			0.50	3			07.0	2	Common of the contract of the			1.50		A STATE OF THE STA							3.25
Amount Billed to Client							The same can be seen as a second seco	\$46.00	The state of the s	\$115.00		\$227 KU	00.1770			17.2	\$341.25	The state of the s	Control of the contro			\$227 KA	20:177			\$00 DO	432.00		AV)		\$682.50									\$1,478.75
Hours Billed / to Client								0.20	THE PARTY OF THE P	0.50		0 20	2	and the Administration of the State of the S			0.75		Andrew Commence of the Commenc			0.50	3			0.70	0,40				1,50		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	The state of the s	And the second section of the second	The state of the s				3.25
Attorney	To be a second s	A TANA CANADA TANA	The second control of the second seco		The second secon			James C. Carignan		James C. Carignan		M Duncan Grant			The state of the s		M. Duncan Grant	The state of the s		A. C.	The state of the s	M Duncan Grant	W. Concorn Crark				James C. Cangran			The state of the s	M. Duncan Grant	and the second s			The second secon		A 194 (194 (194 (194 (194 (194 (194 (194		Construction of the Constr	M. Duncan Grant
Date						erra seran esker																																		
 | | 11/30/2005 | | 12/3/2005 | | 12/5/2005 | | |
 | | 12/7/2005 | | | | | 200000 | 200707 | •
 | | 2000000 | 219/2003 | | | | 12/9/2005 | |
 | | | | | The second section of the sect | | 12/12/2005
 |

Date	Attorney	Hours Billed to Client	Amount Billed to Client	Hours Sought in Fee Petition	Amount Sought in Fee Petition	Description of Services
		A CAN CAMERA TO A CALL CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE			And management of the state of	verification: finalize statement for filing
			AND CA CO. C.			with court to advise of Sunrame Court's
		And the second s			The state of the s	decision in Martin v. Franklin Capital
		And the state of t			The second secon	relating to standard for awarding attorneys'
\$1.00 miles						fees if a removed case is remanded; finalize
eren i meren en eren grandende epigen en epig an, ega e		The state of the s				surreply brief in opposition to Af-Cap motion
And the second s	110000000000000000000000000000000000000	7*** V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V		447		to remand to state court, and contact opposing
	And the state of t		A WARRY OF A Marylet (HARRIST MARKA AMARA)			counsel to determine whether Af-Cap will oppose
			The second secon			filing of surreply (all in Connecticut Bank
A STATE OF THE STA						case)
12/13/2005	James C. Carignan	1.70	\$391.00	1.70	\$391.00	Edits and additions to reply brief regarding
	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	A characteristics and maximum to sense and make the first territories are consistent as a con-				motion to add verification.
12/13/2005	M. Duncan Grant	4.00	\$1,820.00	4.00	\$1,820.00	Review and edit G. Lipe draft of brief in
			THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRE	MATTER CONTRACTOR CONT	A Charles And Advanced Control of the Control of th	opposition to Af-Cap motion for summary
		42.00 minutes and a second			Address of the figure and a cold field of the cold cold of the cold commences and the cold of the cold	judgment and in support of CMS Nomeco motion
			The second secon			for summary judgment; review exhibits to M.
And the second s	TO TAKE AND THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY					Bernard declaration; review and analyze case
				The state of the s	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	law cited by Af-Cap in its brief in opposition
					000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00	to CMS Nomeco motion to amend answer by adding
the first own to the contract of the contract	The state of the s	The state of the s				verification; review J. Carignan legal research
	The state of the s					to be used in reply in support of motion to
The state of the s	A CAMPAN COMMENT OF THE COMMENT OF T				The second secon	amend answer by adding verification; further
						drafting of same; finalize motion for leave to
Melifina con contrar on contrar of the contrar of t	THE PARTY OF STREET, S		The second secon			file surreply brief in opposition to Af-Cap
	ACTION AND ACTION ACTION AND ACTION ACTION AND ACTION ACTION AND ACTION A	The state of the s			THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF	motion to remand Connecticut Bank case to state
- Participan						coult ,
2/14/2005	James C. Carignan	1,10	\$253.00	1.10	\$253.00	Additional research and edits to reply brief.
12/14/2005	James C. Carignan	0.80	\$184.00	0.80	\$184.00	Edits to summary judgment opposition brief.
12/14/2005	M. Duncan Grant	5.25	\$2,388.75	5.25	\$2,388.75	Finalize brief in opposition to Af-Cap's motion
						for summary judgment and in support of CMS
						Nomeco's motion for summary judgment, including
						multiple emails with G. Lipe and E. Hodges,
and the state of t						review of exhibits to brief, and revisions to
						text, and arrange for filing; finalize motion
		THE THE TRANSPORT THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY				for leave to file surreply in opposition to
						Af-Cap's motion to remand Connecticut Bank case
						to state court, and arrange for filing;
						finalize reply brief in support of motion to
						amend answer to writ of garnishment by adding
						verification, including review of new cases
CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN NAMED	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR			Company of the Compan		

Date	Attorney	Hours Billed to Client	Amount Billed to Client	Hours Sought in Fee Petition	Amount Sought in Fee Petition	Description of Services
				The state of the s		зате.
12/15/2005	M. Duncan Grant	0:20	\$227.50	0.50	\$227.50	Telephone conference with G. Lipe to plan next
						steps in Connecticut Bank case; finalize reply
						in support of motion to add verification to
		entre that the second s				answer to writ of garnishment, for e-filing
						with court.
12/22/2008	M Dincon Grant	7.0	6244.26	37.0	4044.05	D
E E E E O O	W. Cercan Crain	0.70	.7.1.00	0.0	67.1464	Keview two Al-Cap offers in Connecticut parity
	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	,,,,,,			Manager and the control of the contr	case, reply in support of its motion for
					And the state of t	summary judgment and opposition to our motion
						for leave to file surreply brief in opposition
						to Al-Cap's motion to remand; discuss briefing sequence with G. Lipe.
12/27/2005	M Dincan Grant	0.50	\$227 50	0.50	\$227 50	Review and comment on G. Line draft of renty in
		3) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	support of motion for leave to file surrenty
1	The second secon				30,000,0000,0000,0000,0000,0000,0000,0000	brief in opposition to Af-Cap's motion to
						remand Connecticut Bank case to state court.
12/20/2005	M Original	37.0	#344 DE	35.0	\$244 DE	Daniel Laboration of Control of C
25015000	W. Carcal Crain		77.11.79	2	77.1104	of renty in support of motion for leave to file
75.07	**************************************					surreply brief in opposition to Af-Cap's motion
	Annual community of the control of t				100 ° 100 °	to remand Connecticut Bank case to state court,
			A NATIONAL PROPERTY OF A STATE OF THE STATE			and finalize reply for filing with the court.
0000			00 000		00 000	
0007/6/1	M. Duncan Grant	3,00	\$1,470.00	3.00	00.074,14	CMS Name of cross-motion for summary indoment:
	Marie III III II					review and comment on G I line draft of reply
						brief in support of cross-motion for summary
**************************************	erri (mangah) PCVII - 110 - da adalah adalah adalah adalah adalah sarah 150 mengan SSS 1779				AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	judgment; finalize same for filing with court;
	A THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	The second secon			A STATE OF THE STA	telephone conference with G. Lipe to discuss
	A Administration of the Control of t	and the state of t	The state of the s			strategy going forward now that all pending
(A) (A) (A)		Company of the compan				motions have been fully briefed.
1/6/2006	James C. Carignan	0.20	\$54.00	0.20	\$54.00	Receipt and review of reply brief.
2/2/2006	James C. Carignan	0.30	\$81.00	0.30	\$81.00	Confer with D. Grant re DE lawsuit status.
7/20/2006	M. Duncan Grant	0.25	\$122.50	0.25	\$122.50	Initial review and analysis of court's opinion
The state of the s	TO ANALYSIS AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE PARTY					denying Af-Cap's motion to remand Connecticut
						Bank case to state court.
7/24/2006	M. Duncan Grant	1.8	\$490.00	1.00	\$490.00	Telephone conference with G. Lipe regarding
						strategy going forward in Delaware following
						court's denial of Af-Cap motion to remand and

	Attorney	to Client	Cilent	in Fee Petition	Amount Sought in Fee Petition	Description of Services
				AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE		denial of both parties' motions for summary
						judgment; initial review of 5th Circuit
		Williams Sufficient by African and African Artists of the Con-				decision in CMS Nomeco's favor in the FG
			The state of the s			litigation.
7/25/2006	M. Duncan Grant	0.25	\$122.50	0.25	\$122.50	Draft notice of filing of declaration of Maryse
Table because on the property and						Bernard to verify answer to writ of
				TO ALL DESCRIPTION OF THE SECOND STATE OF THE	A A A SE ON TO THE	garnishment, and send to G. Lipe for comment.
7/26/2006	M. Duncan Grant	0.50	\$245.00	0.50	\$245.00	Finalize notice of filing of declaration of
	The state of the s	**************************************			2000	Maryse Remard: complete review of 5th Circuit
traduction to appropriate and antique of the state of the	Primare de describér en terminament de manifestado de contrato de la contrato de la contrato de la contrato de					decision in FG case; review G. Lipe draft of
						Initial Disclosures.
000000			0074			
112112000	IM. Duncan Grafit	0.23	\$122.50	CZ.U	\$122.50	l elephone conference with G. Lipe regarding
THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T		of the control of the below of the desired the control of the cont				plans for discovery and [redacted - privileged strategy discussion]
7/28/2006	M. Duncan Grant	0.25	\$122.50	0.25	\$122.50	Telephone conference with Judge Robinson's
				10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10°		chambers regarding her trial schedule; email to
·						G. Lipe to advise him that fredacted - privileged
		erinanti anten mitaria da del como de del como de del como de como de del como de como				strategy discussion]
8/8/2006	James C. Carignan	0.10	\$27.00	0.10	\$27.00	Email from co-counsel re initial disclosure.
90001818	M Dimon Grant	00.0	CO CRO	00 6	CO COSO	Bavious and adit 1 Dowers draft of initial
00000	E. Caral Car	8.7	20.000	0.1		disclosures and exchange further drafts with
The state of the s			The same of the sa			disclosures, and exchange further charts with
***************************************		The second secon		A section of the section of the section of the section of	A CANADA	nim, dran scheduling order to be proposed to
						Af-Cap, and discuss same with J. Powers.
8/9/2006	James C. Carignan	0.30	\$81.00	0.30	\$81.00	Receipt and review of multiple e-mails
					100	regarding initial disclosures, draft scheduling
- 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						order.
8/9/2006	M Duncan Grant	0.75	\$367.50	0.75	\$367.50	Email exchange with G. Lipe and J. Powers
					A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	regarding draft of scheduling order and [redacted -
						privileged strategy discussion relating to jury demand.
	To both the state of the state				Common commence and the common section of th	review and comment on J. Powers revisions to draft of
						initial disclosures.
8/14/2006	M. Duncan Grant	0.25	\$122.50	0.25	\$122.50	Review and comment on Vinson & Elkins changes
						to draft of scheduling order.
				300		Einnite drott enhalt line order and cand to
8/17/2006	M. Duncan Grant	0.25	\$122.50	0.23	06.221\$	At Car's lawore for comment

Date	Attorney	Hours Billed to Client	Amount Billed to Client	Hours So in Fee Pet	Amount Sought in Fee Petition	Description of Services
8/18/2006	M. Duncan Grant	0.75	\$367.50	0.75	\$367.50	Review Af-Cap's proposed revisions to our draft
	And the state of t					of scheduling order, telephone conference with
						K. Kaplan (Greenberg Traurig) regarding same;
						emails with Vinson & Elkins regarding same.
8/18/2006	James C. Carignan	0.10	\$27.00	0.10	\$27.00	Receipt and review scheduling order.
8/20/2006	M. Duncan Grant	0.50	\$245.00	050	\$245.00	Emails with K Kanlan (Groenhorn Traurin)
						regarding changes to scheduling order, forward
			The state of the s			Af-Cap's new draft to G. Lipe for comment.
8/21/2006	M. Duncan Grant	3.50	\$1,715.00	3.50	\$1,715.00	Revise scheduling order; multiple emails and
			***************************************	And the state of t	The second secon	phone calls with G. Lipe regarding same;
						discussions with Af-Cap's lawyers regarding
ALCOHOLOGICA ACCOUNTS AND A SECOND						same; multiple telephone conferences with G.
	The second secon	L				Lipe to plan for tomorrow's scheduling
	and the same of th					conference with Judge Robinson; outline issues
and the second s		TO THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	any dia many department operation and according to the			to be discussed during scheduling conference.
8/22/2006	James C. Carignan	0.20	\$54.00	0.20	\$54.00	Receipt and review of multiple e-mails and
						attachments re scheduling order.
8/22/2006	M. Duncan Grant	2.25	\$1 102 50	2.25	\$1 102 50	Scheduling conference with Judge Robinson
			***************************************			telephone conference with G. Lipe in advance to
		A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH				plan our strategy for conference; further
			A COLOR OF THE SECTION AND A COLOR OF THE SECTIO			discussions with G. Lipe following conference;
						revise draft scheduling order and transmit it
						to G. Lipe for comments and then to Af-Cap's
	The state of the s	The second secon	MADERAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPER			lawyers.
8/23/2006	M. Duncan Grant	2.75	\$1,347.50	2.75	\$1,347.50	Conference call with G. Lipe and W. Katz
						regarding status and strategy for Delaware
						litigation; draft multiple new versions of
	And Address of the Control of the Co					scheduling order and discuss same with
TO THE REAL PROPERTY AND THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1						co-counsel and with Af-Cap's counsel; telephone
	THE LABOR PLANTS OF THE PARTY O					conference with Af-Cap's counsel to negotiate
						final changes to scheduling order; review Fifth
	and a second	MANAGEMENT OF THE PARTY OF THE				Circuit decision issued today in Af-Cap v.
						Republic of Congo.
8/24/2006	James C. Carignan	0.30	\$81.00	0.30	\$81.00	Receipt and review of multiple emails re
						scheduling order.
SOUCHER	M Dincan Grant	0.50	\$245.00	0.50	\$245.00	Email exchange with G. Lipe regarding potential
2007#	E. Cuiçai Çiair	8		3		impact in Delaware of yesterday's 5th Circuit

Date	Attorney	Hours Billed to Client	Amount Billed to Client	Hours Sought in Fee Petition	Amount Sought in Fee Petition	Description of Services
						G. Lipe draft of email to R. Fox advising him
						of status in Delaware Iltigation.
9/6/2006	M. Duncan Grant	0.25	\$122.50	0.25	\$122.50	Review Af-Cap's petitions for panel rehearing
					American Colored and a bound of the Designation of the Colored and the Colored	and rehearing en banc following decision by the
			A VAN A MATA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN			Fifth Circuit.
9/7/2006	James C. Carignan	0.30	\$81.00	0:30	\$81.00	Receipt and review of multiple e-mails re
						scheduling and disclosures.
10/25/2006	M. Duncan Grant	0.25	\$122.50	0.25	\$122.50	Review and analyze G. Lipe memo to R. Fox
						advising him on responses that CMS Nomeco
						should make to document requests served by
						Af-Cap.
10/27/2006	M. Duncan Grant	0.25	\$122.50	0.25	\$122.50	Review and analyze Af-Cap request for
						substitution of Af-Cap as plaintiff in
						Connecticut Bank case and its additional
						request for 30 days' notice of any change in
						CMS Nomeco's corporate structure or in movement
						of any of its assets; review and comment on G.
			an Landida Africa de la companya y proposition de la companya de l			Lipe's draft reply to Af-Cap.
10/30/2006	M. Duncan Grant	0.50	\$245.00	0.50	\$245.00	Phone call and multiple emails with G. Lipe to
			MANAGEMENT OF A SAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A		Management of the state of the	analyze and respond to Af-Cap's request that
Control of the Contro					The state of the s	CMS Nomeco voluntarily advise Af-Cap 30 days in
	AAA. 174 1		the building country on position or constitution and an extensive or constitution of the constitution of t			advance of any corporate transactions affecting
***					The state of the s	the royalty obligations that Af-Cap is
						attempting to gamish.
10/31/2006	M. Duncan Grant	0.25	\$122.50	0.25	\$122.50	Review and analyze J. Carignan memorandum
						analyzing proper location for Rule 30(b)(6)
W. C.						deposition of defendant's corporate designee
						when witness resides outside of US.
10/31/2006	James C. Carignan	3.50	\$945.00	3.50	\$945.00	Research issue re location of 30(b)(6)
			The state of the s			deposition and memo to D. Grant re same.
11/1/2006	James C. Carignan	0.10	\$27.00	0.10	\$27.00	Receipt of e-mails regarding 30(b)(6)
					A V A V A V A V A V A V A V A V A V A V	deposition location issue.
11/1/2006	M. Duncan Grant	0.25	\$122.50	0.25	\$122.50	Email exchange with G. Lipe regarding our
						objections to Af-Cap's attempt to depose CMS
Company of the latest and the latest	The state of the s					Nomeco corporate representative in Delaware
	The second secon					rother than in a confer

	Attorney	Hours Billed to Client	Amount Billed to Client	Hours Sought in Fee Petition	Amount Sought in Fee Petition	Description of Services
	M. Duncan Grant	0.25	\$122.50	0.25	\$122.50	Telephone conference with G. Lipe to discuss
						appropriate objections to make to Af-Cap's
		AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER				discovery requests in Connecticut Bank case.
	M. Duncan Grant	1.00	\$490.00	1.00	\$490.00	Review and comment on G. Lipe draft of
	The company of the co					responses to Af-Cap's discovery requests in the
					The special state of the speci	Connecticut Bank case, email exchange with G.
				AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER		Lipe regarding strategy and regarding response
- 1	V - Addition to the second					to Connecticut Bank's motion to substitute
3						Af-Cap as plaintiff.
i	M. Duncan Grant	0.25	\$122.50	0.25	\$122.50	Review updated Vinson & Elkins draft of
t	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY	THE THE TANK TO A PARTY OF THE			THE TWO IS NOT THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE P	responses to Af-Cap's discovery requests in
		NITED AND A CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		10 mm m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m		Connecticut Bank case.
	M. Duncan Grant	0.50	\$245.00	0.50	\$245.00	Review final version of resnonses to Af-Can
						discovery requests in Connecticut Bank case.
	James C. Carignan	0.10	\$27.00	0,10	\$27.00	Call to G. Lipe regarding discovery.
1	The second secon					
	James C. Carignan	0.30	\$81.00	0.30	\$81.00	Confer with D. Grant regarding [redacted - privilege -
			The state of the s		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	deposition strategy issuej
1	James C. Carignan	1.50	\$405.00	1.50	\$405.00	Begin researching (redacted - privilege -
						deposition strategy issue]
	M. Duncan Grant	0.25	\$122.50	0.25	\$122.50	Review J. Carignan's email analyzing whether
					The second statement and second sta	[redacted - privilege - deposition strategy issue]
	James C. Carignan	3.30	\$891.00	3.30	\$891.00	Continue researching [redacted - privilege -
						deposition strategy issue] and email
						D. Grant regarding same
	M. Duncan Grant	0.25	\$122.50	0.25	\$122.50	Telephone conference with G. Lipe regarding
i i						plans and strategy for Rule 30(b)(6) deposition
						of Roland Fox, including timing, location, and scope of permissible subjects.
	M Duncan Grant	0.25	\$122.50	0.25	\$122.50	Telephone conference with G. Lipe and R. Fox
			ļ			regarding details for possible effort by Af-Cap
1		The second secon		A STANTANT OF STAN		to videotape the Rule 30(b)(6) deposition of
,	And the second s					CMS Nomeco; review multiple emails relating to
					***************************************	scope of Rule 30(b)(6) deposition.
	M Duncan Grant	0.75	\$367.50	0.75	\$367.50	Telephone conference with G. Lipe and J. Powers
				The state of the s	ļ	to plan for 12/4/06 discovery conference with

Description of Services	etter to the	MS Nomeco's	that are in		s regarding	voirt.	Lipe draft of portions	utlining CMS	ery disputes in	shone conference	plan postponement	nce; contact court	not need	4/06, and to confirm	rence; telephone	rding results of	30(b)(6) witness;	ig lawyers regarding	with draft of	cery court		denying Af-Cap's	ecticut Bank as	Af-Cap's right	npletion of	omeco, emails with G.	f same; ask J.	search into	ii sudiegy issue]	egarding outbound	ed in Connecticut	ame to conform with	ew and comment on	of [redacted - privilege -	ew expert report	many tanàna dia kaominina dia mandritry dia 2000 menana amin'ny mpikambana amin'ny fivondronana amin'ny fivondronana amin'ny faritr'i Australia.	e - litigation strategy]	re same,	ille with C. Line
Descript	court, including our 12/1/06 letter to the	court advising the court of CMS Nomeco	position on discovery issues that are in	dispute.	Receipt and review of e-mails regarding	position paper and status report.	Review and comment on G. Lipe draft of portions	of letter to Judge Robinson outlining CMS	Nomeco's position on discovery disputes in	Connecticut Bank case; telephone conference	with G. Lipe and K. Kaplan to plan postponement	of 12/4/06 discovery conference; contact court	staff to advise that parties do not need	discovery conference on 12/4/06, and to confirm	1/4/U/ as new date for conference; telephone	conference with G. Lipe regarding results of	deposition of R. Fox as Rule 30(b)(6) witness,	emails with Greenberg Traurig lawyers regarding	their obligation to provide us with draft of	status report to court in Crian	lawsur.	Review federal court's order denying Af-Cap's	motion to substitute for Connecticut Bank as	plaintiff, without prejudice to Af-Cap's right	to renew the motion after completion of	discovery needed by CMS Nomeco, emails with G.	Lipe regarding implications of same; ask J.	Cangnan to conduct legal research into	ויפטפעפי - אויאיפטפ - יוויטשויטו או שופטץ ואסיים	Multiple emails with G. Lipe regarding outbound	discovery requests to be served in Connecticut	Bank case; review and edit same to conform with	Delaware local practice; review and comment on	J. Carignan's initial analysis of [redacted - privilege	litigation strategy issue]; review expert report	prepared by David Kaeuper.	Research [redacted - privilege - litigation strategy]	issue] and memo to D. Grant re same.	At the other sector became almost the
Amount Sought in Fee Petition					\$27.00		\$490.00									de a veger i se que l'establique de la circa de dada che l'emplement de mandre de mand			The second secon			\$245.00		A CANADA		The second secon	The state of the s			\$612.50							\$1,863.00		4367 EO
Hours Sought in Fee Petition					0.10		1.00			The state of the s	A VA CAMBANA											0.50				40.000				1.25							06.9		35.0
Amount Billed to Client			THE PART OF STATE AND A STATE		\$27.00		\$612.50			The state of the s			10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1				A COLON OF THE PROPERTY OF THE					\$245.00			The state of the s	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO PERSON NAMED I		The state of the s		\$612.50							\$1,863.00		00 007
Hours Billed , to Client					0.10		1.25					The second secon						-	The second control of			0.50								1.25							06:90	- Andrews	7
Attorney		APPA WORK OF AN APPA PAPA PAPAR SAME AND APPARENT APPARENT AND APPARENT AND APPARENT APPARENT.		The state of the s	James C. Carignan		M. Duncan Grant						The state of the s	The state of the s					Control and control and the state of the sta		- Comment	M. Duncan Grant		***************************************				The state of the s		M. Duncan Grant		The state of the s	20.00				James C. Carignan		Af Dungan Crant
Date					11/29/2006		11/30/2006	W			1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 1110 111		The second secon								The state of the s	12/8/2006	The second secon							12/11/2006							12/11/2006		40,40,000

James C. Carignan 1.30 \$351.00 1.30 \$351.00 James C. Carignan 1.90 \$513.00 0.60 \$162.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$245.00 0.25 \$122.50 James C. Carignan 1.00 \$270.00 1.00 \$270.00 James C. Carignan 2.80 \$756.00 2.80 \$756.00 James C. Carignan 2.10 \$567.00 0.50 \$250.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$260.00 0.50 \$250.00		Attorney	Hours Billed to Client	Amount billed to	in Fee Petition	In Fee Petition	Description of Services
James C. Carignan 1:30 \$351.00 1:30 \$351.00 1 James C. Carignan 0:60 \$162.00 0.60 \$162.00 M. Duncan Grant 0:50 \$2245.00 0:50 \$213.00 M. Duncan Grant 0:50 \$2245.00 0:50 \$212.50 James C. Carignan 1:00 \$2770.00 James C. Carignan 2:00 \$3567.00 James C. Carignan 2:00 \$3567.00 James C. Carignan 2:00 \$3567.00 James C. Carignan 2:00 \$2507.00 James C. Carignan 2:00 \$2507		Maria de la companya					to serve its expert report in the Connecticut
James C. Carignan 1.30 \$351.00 1.30 \$351.00 1.30		The second contract of the second sec					Bank case; finalize our expert report written
James C. Carignan 1.30 \$351.00 1.30 \$351.00 4 James C. Carignan 1.50 \$513.00 1.50 \$351.00 6 James C. Carignan 1.50 \$513.00 1.50 \$513.00 6 James C. Carignan 1.00 \$270.00 1.00 \$270.00 6 James C. Carignan 2.80 \$776.00 2.10 \$557.00 6 James C. Carignan 2.80 \$756.00 2.80 \$756.00 6 James C. Carignan 2.80 \$756.00 2.80 \$756.00 6 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$260.00 0.50 \$220.00							by D. Kaeuper, review and comment on G. Lipe
James C. Carignan 1.30 \$351.00 1.30 \$351.00 / James C. Carignan 0.60 \$162.00 0.50 \$112.50 James C. Carignan 1.00 \$270.00 1.00 \$275.00 James C. Carignan 1.00 \$270.00 1.00 \$270.00 James C. Carignan 2.00 \$275.00 James C. Carignan 2.00 \$250.00 James C. Carig							drafts of motions to file supplemental answers
James C. Carignan 1.30 \$351.00 1.30 \$351.00 James C. Carignan 1.30 \$351.00 James C. Carignan 1.30 \$351.00 James C. Carignan 1.30 \$513.00 James C. Carignan 1.30 \$513.00 James C. Carignan 2.30 \$5750.00							in Delaware federal court and Chancery Court
James C. Carignan 1.30 \$351.00 4 James C. Carignan 0.60 \$162.00 0.60 \$162.00 James C. Carignan 1.90 \$513.00 1.90 \$513.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$245.00 0.50 \$245.00 James C. Carignan 1.00 \$270.00 1.00 \$270.00 James C. Carignan 2.80 \$756.00 2.10 \$567.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$260.00 2.10 \$267.00							cases, in order to formally present issues
James C. Carignan 1.30 \$351.00 1.30 \$351.00 James C. Carignan 0.60 \$162.00 0.60 \$162.00 0.60 \$162.00 James C. Carignan 1.90 \$513.00 1.90 \$513.00 0.25 \$122.50 M. Duncan Grant 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 0.50 \$245.00 James C. Carignan 1.00 \$270.00 1.00 \$245.00 0.50 \$245.00 James C. Carignan 3.50 \$545.00 2.80 \$756.00 2.80 \$756.00 James C. Carignan 2.80 \$756.00 2.10 \$557.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$267.00 0.50 \$250.00				A TOTAL AND TO A ALTERNATION OF THE STREET, AND A STREET,		The second secon	arising from Fifth Circuit decisions.
James C. Carignan 0.660 \$162.00 0.60 \$162.00 James C. Carignan 1.90 \$513.00 1 M. Duncan Grant 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 M. Duncan Grant 0.26 \$245.00 0.50 \$245.00 James C. Carignan 1.00 \$270.00 3.50 \$945.00 James C. Carignan 2.80 \$756.00 2.10 \$567.00 James C. Carignan 2.10 \$587.00 2.10 \$567.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$220.00 0.50 \$260.00	98	James C. Carignan	1.30	\$351.00	1.30	\$351.00	Additional research re fredacted - privilege -
James C. Carignan 0.60 \$162.00 0.60 \$162.00 0 James C. Carignan 1.90 \$513.00 1 0.50 \$513.00 0 M. Duncan Grant 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 0 James C. Carignan 1.00 \$270.00 1.00 \$270.00 James C. Carignan 2.80 \$756.00 2.80 \$756.00 James C. Carignan 2.10 \$567.00 2.10 \$567.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$220.00 2.10 \$567.00							discovery issues related to bond to secure payment
James C. Carignan 0.60 \$162.00 0.60			AMBRICA DE LA LA PARTICIPA DE ANTRE DE LA COMPANSIONE DEL COMPANSIONE DE LA COMPANSI				of attorneys' fees].
James C. Carignan 1.90 \$513.00 1.90 \$513.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$245.00 0.50 \$245.00 James C. Carignan 3.50 \$945.00 3.50 \$945.00 James C. Carignan 2.80 \$756.00 2.80 \$756.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$267.00 0.50 \$267.00	12/13/2006	James C. Carignan	0.60		0.60	\$162.00	Confer with D. Grant re fredacted - privilege -
James C. Carignan 1.90 \$513.00 1.90 \$513.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$245.00 0.50 \$245.00 James C. Carignan 1.00 \$270.00 1.00 \$270.00 James C. Carignan 2.80 \$756.00 2.80 \$756.00 James C. Carignan 2.10 \$567.00 2.10 \$567.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$250.00 0.50 \$260.00			A SANDARAN AND PROPERTY OF STATE OF THE SANDAR AND				discovery issues related to bond to secure payment
James C. Carignan 1.90 \$513.00 1.90 \$513.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$245.00 0.50 \$245.00 James C. Carignan 1.00 \$270.00 1.00 \$270.00 James C. Carignan 2.80 \$756.00 2.80 \$756.00 James C. Carignan 2.10 \$567.00 2.10 \$567.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$250.00 0.50 \$250.00							of attorneys' fees] and edits to memo re same.
M. Duncan Grant 0.25 \$122.50 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50	908	lames C Carionan	06 1	\$513.00	1 90	\$513.00	Research fredacted - ndvilege -
M. Duncan Grant 0.25 \$122.50 0.25 \$122.50 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$245.00 0.50 \$245.00 James C. Carignan 1.00 \$270.00 1.00 \$270.00 James C. Carignan 2.80 \$756.00 2.80 \$756.00 James C. Carignan 2.10 \$567.00 2.10 \$567.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$260.00 0.50 \$250.00		2					discovery issues related to bond to secure payment
M. Duncan Grant 0.25 \$122.50 \$122.50 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$245.00 0.50 \$245.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$245.00 0.50 \$245.00 James C. Carignan 1.00 \$270.00 1.00 \$270.00 James C. Carignan 2.80 \$756.00 2.80 \$756.00 James C. Carignan 2.10 \$567.00 2.10 \$567.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$260.00 0.50 \$260.00		1.4	THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY	The second secon	The second was to translate the second energy and it as to develop the second to the s	- 4 (V) (of attorneys' fees] and email to D. Grant re same
M. Duncan Grant 0.26 \$122.50 \$122.50 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$245.00 0.50 \$245.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$245.00 0.50 \$245.00 James C. Carignan 1.00 \$270.00 1.00 \$270.00 James C. Carignan 3.50 \$945.00 3.50 \$945.00 James C. Carignan 2.80 \$756.00 2.80 \$756.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$260.00 0.50 \$260.00						Andrew and the state of the sta	
M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$245.00 0.50 \$245.00 James C. Carignan 1.00 \$270.00 1.00 \$270.00 James C. Carignan 2.80 \$756.00 2.80 \$756.00 James C. Carignan 2.10 \$567.00 2.10 \$567.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$2260.00 0.50 \$280.00	900	M. Duncan Grant	0.25		0.25		Conference with J. Carignan to direct further
M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$245.00 0.50 \$245.00 James C. Carignan 1.00 \$270.00 1.00 \$270.00 James C. Carignan 2.10 \$567.00 2.10 \$567.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$260.00 0.50 \$260.00							legal research into [redacted - privilege -
M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$245.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$245.00 James C. Carignan 1.00 \$270.00 James C. Carignan 3.50 \$945.00 James C. Carignan 2.80 \$756.00 James C. Carignan 2.10 \$567.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$260.00							discovery issues related to bond to secure payment
M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$245.00 0.50 \$245.00 James C. Carignan 1.00 \$270.00 1.00 \$270.00 James C. Carignan 3.50 \$345.00 3.50 \$945.00 James C. Carignan 2.10 \$567.00 2.10 \$567.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$260.00 0.50 \$260.00							of attorneys' fees
James C. Carignan 1.00 \$270.00 James C. Carignan 3.50 \$945.00 James C. Carignan 2.80 \$756.00 James C. Carignan 2.10 \$567.00 James C. Carignan 2.10 \$567.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$260.00	900	M Duncan Grant	0.50		0.50		Finalize unopposed motion for leave to file
James C. Carignan 1.00 \$270.00 James C. Carignan 3.50 \$945.00 James C. Carignan 2.80 \$756.00 James C. Carignan 2.10 \$567.00 James C. Carignan 2.10 \$567.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$260.00					***		supplemental answer to writ of garnishment in
James C. Carignan 1.00 \$270.00 James C. Carignan 3.50 \$945.00 James C. Carignan 2.80 \$756.00 James C. Carignan 2.10 \$567.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$260.00			AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER				federal court action, and arrange for e-filing
James C. Carignan 1.00 \$270.00 1.00 \$270.00 James C. Carignan 3.50 \$945.00 3.50 \$945.00 James C. Carignan 2.80 \$756.00 2.80 \$756.00 James C. Carignan 2.10 \$567.00 2.10 \$567.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$260.00 0.50 \$260.00							with court, emails with G. Lipe regarding same.
James C. Carignan 3.50 \$945.00 3.50 \$945.00 James C. Carignan 2.80 \$756.00 2.80 \$756.00 James C. Carignan 2.10 \$567.00 2.10 \$567.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$260.00 0.50 \$260.00	900	James C. Carignan	1.00		1.00		Begin researching [redacted - privilege -
James C. Carignan 3.50 \$945.00 3.50 \$945.00 James C. Carignan 2.80 \$756.00 2.80 \$756.00 James C. Carignan 2.10 \$567.00 2.10 \$567.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$260.00 0.50 \$260.00							relates to shifting of attorneys' fees].
James C. Carignan 2.80 \$756.00 2.80 \$756.00 James C. Carignan 2.10 \$567.00 2.10 \$567.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$260.00 0.50 \$260.00	900	James C. Carignan	3.50	_	3.50		Continue researching (redacted - privilege -
2.80 \$756.00 2.10 \$567.00 0.50 \$260.00							relates to shifting of attorneys' fees].
James C. Carignan 2.10 \$567.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$260.00	900	James C. Carignan	2.80		2.80		Continue researching (redacted - privilege -
James C. Carignan 2.10 \$567.00 2.10 \$567.00 M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$260.00 0.50 \$260.00						An	relates to shifting of attorneys' fees).
M. Duncan Grant 0.50 \$260.00 0.50 \$260.00	900	James C. Carignan	2.10		2.10		Memo to D. Grand re research issues.
	07	M Duncan Grant	0.50		0.50		Muttiple emails with G. Lipe and J. Powers
strategy] and for response to anticipated motion by Af-Cap to enjoin SNPC's taking of in-kind oil royalty in	5	The state of the s					regarding strategy for [redacted - privilege - litigation
Af-Cap to enjoin SNPC's taking or in-kind oil royally in							strategy] and for response to anticipated motion by
	-						Af-Cap to enjoin SNPC's taking of in-kind oil royalty in

Date	Attorney	Hours Billed to Client	Amount Billed to Client	Hours Sought in Fee Petition	Amount Sought in Fee Petition	Description of Services
A SECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF T	**************************************		And the state of t	A AND AND MANAGED IN COLUMN 1980 AND THE COLUMN 1981 AND THE COLUM		Carignan legal memorandum on [redacted - privilege
	The same of the sa					relates to Af-Cap motion for emergency reliefl,
		en deutste dat den etterne den en den en e	Action to the control of the special control of the character and the ch			and forward same to G. Lipe to insert into brief;
						review and insert G. Lipe's proposed changes to
						status report due today in Chancery Court case.
1/16/2007	James C. Carignan	4.20	\$1,281.00	4.20	\$1,281.00	Research [redacted - privilege - relates to Af-Cap
	į				The section of the se	motion for emergency relief] and edits to
						brief section re same.
1/17/2007	M. Duncan Grant	7.75	\$4,030.00	7.00	\$3,640.00	Finalize letter to federal court presenting CMS
			_			Nomeco's views on discovery issues to be
						discussed with court on 1/18/07; emails with K.
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	The same of the sa		The second state of the se		Kaplan regarding efforts to include Af-Cap's
					A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF	position in a joint submission to court, which
					ALL ALL STREET, STREET	Af-Cap rejected; review Af-Cap's submission and
***************************************	V/					discuss same with G. Lipe and J. Powers; review
	AND AND IT IS IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY					and significant editing of preliminary brief in
	THE THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	Marriage American Company of the com			AND THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE P	opposition to Af-Cap's motion for emergency
	Andrew of Article (1997) and 1997 and 1	A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE			444	relief in federal court; telephone conference
	A SECTION AND THE SECTION AND		The state of the s			with R. Fox to discuss his proposed changes to
				A CONTRACTOR AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE		same; multiple discussions with G. Lipe
						regarding same; meeting with G. Lipe, J.
	The second leading of					Powers, and A. Derman to plan for status
				A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF		conferences to be held in Chancery Court and
						federal court on 1/18/07.
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR					The state of the s
1/18/2007	M. Duncan Grant	8.00	\$4,160.00	4.00	\$2,080.00	Attend status conferences in both Chancery
	AV 10. 1 (10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10.					Court and federal court cases; multiple
						meetings with G. Lipe, J. Powers, and A. Derman
	The state of the s					to plan for same and to develop strategy for
			The state of the s			next steps in light of directions given by Vice
	A september of the second seco					Chancellor Strine and Judge Robinson; telephone
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O					conference with R. Fox to report on events at
		The second secon		AND THE RESERVE TO THE PERSON OF THE PERSON		each status conference; before court
		The second secon				appearances, work on revisions to protective
			Total Control of the			order that would govern disclosure of
						confidential information in federal court case.
						tiles foreby ed - the feet - : - C
1/22/2007	M. Duncan Grant	9.	\$520.00	1.8	\$520.00	Review G. Lipe dian of older for receial court
					The second secon	action reflecting agreed-upon unestion regar
					A CAT I Trans Control of the Cat I and the C	issues to be determined by court; review
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O				The state of the s	Af-Cap's alternative proposed order, discuss
	The second secon		The state of the s			same with G. Lipe; emails with G. Lipe and J.
	A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE					Powers regarding timing for our responses to

Date	Attorney	Hours Billed to Client	Amount Billed to Client	Hours Sought in Fee Petition	Amount Sought in Fee Petition	Description of Services
						case, in light of stay of discovery ordered by
						court on 1/19/07.
1/24/2007	M. Duncan Grant	1.00	\$520.00	0.75	\$390.00	Telephone conference with G. Lipe regarding
			And the second s			form of stipulation to present to federal court
						to identify threshold legal issues to be
				And a first three to the transfer of the trans		briefed before any additional proceedings take
	American (1975) White Universities and Other American Institute (American American A			and the control of the state of		place, including analysis of problems with
						Greenberg Traurig's draft; review and edit
						stipulation of dismissal of Chancery Court
						case, and send same to Greenberg Traurig for
	The same of the sa					comment.
1/25/2007	M. Duncan Grant	3.00	\$1,560.00	2.25	\$1,170.00	Multiple conferences with G. Lipe regarding
	The same of the sa			AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER		plans for brief to be filed in federal court
	Advisory of the Control of the Contr	And the first of the content of the			The state of the s	case; review and comment on G. Lipe's first
	Marketin managemental managemental properties and a second management of the control of the cont		A C ((((((((((((((((((draft of brief; review and comment on G. Lipe
	The same of the second					draft of stipulation for federal court case
						relating to threshold legal issues to be
				The state of the s		briefed before other issues are addressed;
Carried to the Control of the Contro			AAA AAA AAA AAA AAA AAA AAA AAA AAA AA	Andrew Charles and Andrew Andrew Charles and Control of the Contro		multiple discussions with opposing counsel
			AND			about form of stipulation of dismissal to be
						filed with Chancery Court.
1/26/2007	M. Duncan Grant	5.00	\$2,600.00	4.00	\$2,080.00	Draft revisions to G. Lipe draft of opening
		***************************************	ļ		The same of the sa	brief in support of motion for judgment on the
					100 mg - 100	pleadings in federal court case; review and
	The same of the sa					edit G. Lipe draft of motion itself; arrange
	The second secon					for e-filing of motion papers; numerous
THE PARTY OF THE P				TO THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUM		discussions with opposing counsel and G. Lipe
			The state of the s			about stipulation of dismissal to be filed in
						Chancery Court.
2/12/2007	M Duncan Grant	0.50	\$260.00	0.50	\$260.00	Initial review of Af-Cap's answering brief in
			<u> </u>			opposition to CMS Nomeco motion for judgment on
						the pleadings.
2/14/2007	James C. Carignan	3.30	\$1,006.50	3.30	\$1,006.50	Begin research of (redacted - privilege -
		AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE				relates to response to Af-Cap answering brief in
			A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A			opposition to CMS Nomeco motion for judgment on
A. C. C.						the pleadings].
10000	1000	A CA	00 0903	0.50	\$260.00	Review and analyze J. Carignan's memorandum
2/15/2007	M. Duncan Grant	0.50		200	_	analyzing the question whether [redacted - privilege];
	A COLUMN TO THE PARTY OF THE PA		The state of the s			email to G. Lipe transmitting and commenting on the
Man April 1997		A Contraction of the Contraction		.,	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	mamorandim

Date	Attorney	Hours Billed to Client	Amount Billed to Client	Hours Sought in Fee Petition	Amount Sought in Fee Petition	Description of Services
2/15/2007	James C. Carignan	2.50	\$762.50	2.50	\$762.50	Continue research (redacted - privilege -
****						relates to response to Af-Cap answering brief in
A SA Market Contraction of the same of the same					A AMPRIANCE OF THE SEASON STORES OF THE SEASON STOR	opposition to CMS Nomeco motion for judgment on
						the pleadings] and email M.D. Grant re same
2/16/2007	M. Duncan Grant	1.00	\$520.00	1.00	\$520.00	Review and comment on G. Lipe draft of reply
				77.55 A. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	The state of the s	brief in support of motion for judgment on the
	AND A THE PERSON NAMED IN				A PROCESS AND A CARDON AND A CONTRACT OF THE PROCESS AND A CONTRAC	pleadings.
2/20/2007	M. Duncan Grant	0.50	\$260.00	0.50	\$260.00	Review and comment on G. Lipe's most recent
						redraft of reply brief in support of CMS Nomeco
And the second of the second of the second of						motion for judgment on pleadings.
2/21/2007	M. Duncan Grant	1.00	\$520.00	1.00	\$520.00	Review and comment on G. Lipe's updated draft
						of reply brief in support of motion for
A to the charge family began a training	a de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la co	A STATE OF THE STA				judgment on the pleadings, finalize brief for
						filing with court.
3/5/2007	M. Duncan Grant	1.00	\$520.00	1.00	\$520.00	Review Af-Cap's motion for stay of briefing
					e de la composition della comp	schedule on motions for judgment on pleadings;
						telephone conference with G. Lipe to discuss
The state of the s						same and to plan CMS Nomeco's response; review
And the second s	and the second s					and comment on G. Lipe draft of CMS Nomeco's
			and the second section of the section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the section of the second section of the			response, and direct e-filing of same.
21012007	M Dungan Grant	37.0	\$200.00	0.75	6300.00	Devision Af Can's notice of dismissal of federal court action
2)3/2001	W. Dulkan Glan	COO	9230.00	67.0	00.060	and discuss response with G. Line: review and edit G. Line
1						draft of letter to court stating CMS Nomeco's position
						that attempt to dismiss action is improper
3/12/2007	M Duncan Grant	1.0	\$520.00	0.50	\$260.00	[Redacted - not seeking fee shifting]: review Af-Cap
						letter to court responding to our 3/9/07 letter about
		AND THE PERSON OF THE PERSON O	VA TO THE TREAT OF MAINTAINE MAY PRAINTED AND PROPERTY OF TAXABLE AND PARTY.			Af-Cap's attempt to dismiss federal court action unilaterally,
Maria	THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPE					assign legal research to J. Carignan; review letter that
						J. Carignan and J. Powers sent to court in response
					The rest of the second	to Af-Cap letter
3/13/2007	M. Duncan Grant	2.50	\$1,300.00	1.00	\$520.00	Work on [redacted - not seeking fee shifting] and
						supporting affidavit
3/16/2007	M. Duncan Grant	1.00	\$520.00	0.75	\$390.00	Further work on fee petition and supporting declaration
140,000		37.0	\$200,000	0.75	430000	Finalize draft of my declaration and of spread sheet
3/19/200/	M. Duncan Grant	07.0		2.55	00.000	showing time charges, to be used in support of
						CMS Nomeco fee petition, and transmit same

Date	Hours Billed Amount Billed to Date Attorney to Client Client	Hours Billed to Client		Hours Sought Amount Sought in Fee Petition	ght Amount Sought on in Fee Petition	
						to G. Lipe for his comments
				THE RESERVE AND RESERVE ASSESSMENT ASSESSMEN		
	TOTALS 179.35 \$73,941.25	179.35	179.35 \$73,941.25	164.35 \$66,156.25	164.35 \$66,156.25	The state of the s

EXHIBIT B

Costs Incurred by Pepper Hamilton LLP		
on behalf of CMS Nomeco		
Description		Amount
Filing fees		\$250.00
Lexis / Nexis charges for access to docket		\$522.00
WestLaw research charges for J. Carignan searches	\$4,475.14	
Reimbursement sought for 75% of J. Carignan WestLaw charges		\$3,356.36
Pro hac vice admission fees		\$75.00
Reporting services (hearing transcripts)		\$224.22
TOTAL COSTS FOR WHICH REIMBURSEMENT IS SOUGHT		\$4,427.58